

DEFENCE DIPLOMACY IN THE 21st CENTURY: EXPLORING DEFENCE DIPLOMACY AS A CATALYST FOR SOFT POWER AND GLOBAL STABILITY**Dr. Charan Singh Grover****Assistant Professor, Department of Defence Studies, Pt. C.L.S. Government College, Karnal, Haryana (India)****Email:** csgroverdefence@gmail.com

Abstract: Defence diplomacy has increasingly emerged as a strategic instrument of soft power, enabling nations to build trust, prevent conflict, and foster regional stability through non-coercive military engagement. As traditional notions of power projection evolve, modern states are leveraging their armed forces not merely for combat, but as emissaries of goodwill, cooperation, and influence. Interconnectedness between military diplomacy and soft power can immensely influence in shaping global relations. Through Defence engagements, joint exercises, and training initiatives, military diplomacy fosters trust between countries. This strategic approach aligns with soft power, which focuses on influence through attraction rather than force. Together, they bolster a nation's ability to project goodwill and cultural values through military collaboration. For instance, joint humanitarian missions can ease tensions and improve a country's image. These efforts demonstrate how military diplomacy can effectively advance soft power goals, contributing to overall stability. In today's intricate global environment, the fusion of military diplomacy with soft power has become more relevant than ever. Recognizing how these elements interact offers insight into how countries address geopolitical challenges while advancing diplomatic goals through engagement, dialogue, and mutual understanding. This paper explores the concept of Defence diplomacy, its integration with soft power, historical and contemporary applications, and its effectiveness in achieving strategic objectives. The paper concludes with a critical evaluation of its limitations and potential as a tool for sustainable security and international influence.

Key words: diplomacy, foreign policy, geopolitics, international relations, soft power

[Grover, C.S. **DEFENCE DIPLOMACY IN THE 21st CENTURY: EXPLORING DEFENCE DIPLOMACY AS A CATALYST FOR SOFT POWER AND GLOBAL STABILITY.** *The International Journal of Interpretation, Observation and Analysis*, 2025; Volume 2, Issue 1:89-98 (April-June). ISSN 2349-0713, Peer-reviewed (online/offline), Refereed, Indexed and International Journal (Since 2013), Global Impact Factor: 5.776

Key words: diplomacy, foreign policy, geopolitics, international relations, soft power

1.

Introduction

The concept of soft power, introduced by Joseph S. Nye Jr. in his seminal work *Bound to Lead: The Changing Nature of American Power* (1990), refers to the ability of a country to persuade others to do what it wants without force or coercion. This type of power relies on cultural and diplomatic outreach to cultivate goodwill and establish trust internationally. Within the context of military diplomacy and soft power, it underscores the importance of building partnerships that strengthen shared security and promote collaborative efforts. Nye states that with soft power "the best propaganda is not propaganda" further stating that "when one country gets other countries to want what it wants might be called co-optive or soft power in contrast with the hard or command power of ordering others to do what it wants". Soft power stands in contrast to "hard power," which relies on coercion or monetary incentives to achieve objectives. Unlike hard power, soft power can be exercised not only by nation-states but also by various international actors such as non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and global institutions. Some scholars view soft power as an

illustration of the "second face of power," where influence is exerted indirectly to shape desired outcomes. According to Joseph Nye, a nation's soft power is rooted in three key elements:

- *Culture* (when it resonates positively with others)
- *Political values* (particularly when it adheres to them both domestically and internationally)
- *Foreign policies* (especially when they are perceived as legitimate and morally sound by the global community)

In the realm of international relations, Defence diplomacy, which encompasses military exchanges, joint training exercises, high-level visits, humanitarian aid, and peacekeeping missions, has emerged as a non-coercive way for countries to build strategic relationships and enhance their global image. Defence diplomacy straddles the intersection of hard and soft power, acting as a bridge that facilitates dialogue, builds capacity, and strengthens regional or global influence. The two concepts frequently function together, complementing each other in meaningful ways. Military diplomacy strengthens soft power by

building trust through Defence discussions, joint training exercises, and humanitarian efforts, fostering peaceful collaboration instead of conflict, and thereby enhancing a nation's reputation globally. Successful soft power strategies play a crucial role in shaping diplomatic ties that lay the groundwork for peace and mutual understanding, highlighting the strong connection between military diplomacy and soft power.

1. Objectives of the study

The study has been undertaken with the following objectives:

- To study the concepts and importance of Defence Diplomacy and soft power
- To study the interconnection between the two concepts in order to lay a road map to develop Defence diplomacy as a means of soft power.
- To analyse the benefits a country may leverage using Defence diplomacy and balancing between soft power and hard power

2. Research Methodology

The study employs qualitative research methodology by studying secondary sources including extant literature from research papers, articles, reports published by various government and non-government authorities, books written by experts in the field and various published studies.

3. Defining Defence Diplomacy and Its Evolution

In recent times, more implementations and concepts of diplomacy are emerging, one of which is Defence diplomacy (Medcalf, 2014). Wu (2022) states that public diplomacy intersects with Defence diplomacy when conducted by actors - whether in an official or unofficial capacity - through meetings or events related to security matters. When such engagements involve security concerns, they are considered a component of Defence diplomacy. Defence diplomacy is evolving and has become an integral part of broader diplomatic efforts, involving bilateral and multilateral joint training initiatives with a range of countries, including major global powers (Inkiriwang, 2020). Defence diplomacy refers to the peaceful application of military resources and relationships to achieve national foreign policy objectives. These collaborative activities aim to build strategic and ethical trust among nations, fostering an environment that can positively influence the formulation of foreign policy (Chang & Jenne, 2020). The United Kingdom's Ministry of Defence defines it as "the peaceful application of Defence resources to achieve positive outcomes in the

development of bilateral and multilateral relationships". Its key instruments include:

- Military attaché networks
- Bilateral and multilateral exercises
- Naval goodwill visits
- Defence dialogues and strategic partnerships
- Capacity building in partner states

These mechanisms demonstrate how military diplomacy functions as part of the broader soft power framework, fostering dialogue and collaboration while minimizing the risk of conflict. By strategically employing these tools, nations can strengthen their global influence and play a pivotal role in promoting international peace and security.

The concept gained traction post-Cold War, when the focus of militaries began to shift from traditional warfare to conflict prevention, regional cooperation, and strategic engagement in peacetime. Research indicates that one significant shift in the traditional role of Defence institutions since the end of the Cold War is the emergence of Defence diplomacy, which provides a means to address security challenges while keeping the risk profile minimal. Although it is quite widely used in political debate and science, it lacks a universally-recognised definition. On one hand, the demilitarization of this area of international relations was a defining feature, while on the other hand, there was a broader understanding of the roles of the armed forces, extending beyond their traditional offensive, defensive, or deterrent functions. The effectiveness of Defence diplomacy varies depending on where it is applied along the conflict spectrum - whether before, during, or after a conflict. Regardless of the timing, the central aim of diplomacy, including military diplomacy, is to advance national interests without resorting to physical force. However, Defence diplomacy is most effective when aligned with other instruments of government power - such as trade, foreign aid, political engagement, cultural exchange, and people-to-people ties. In essence, a cohesive, whole-of-government approach can amplify the impact and credibility of Defence diplomacy efforts.

Further research highlights a paradox in Defence diplomacy: while its collaborative activities are designed to foster strategic and ethical trust among nations - creating a cooperative environment that can positively shape foreign policy (Chang & Jenne, 2020) - it can simultaneously give rise to rivalry and competition for military dominance. Such displays, including military demonstrations

and parades, may in fact erode the very trust and moral credibility they aim to build (*Chang & Jenne, 2020*). *Wolfley (2021)* notes that after the Cold War, numerous major powers began conducting joint military exercises with countries outside of their traditional alliances. These initiatives aimed to manage emerging threats without relying on formal military alliances, instead leveraging various Defence tools to reduce risks and dilute the influence of rivals in a competitive international system. According to *Inkiriwang (2021)*, such exercises also serve to deepen multilateral ties and expand international partnerships. Moreover, *Laksmna (2021)* asserts that the relationships developed through these military engagements - ranging from global and regional cooperation to personal, people-to-people connections - should ideally surpass the depth of strategic relations between Indonesia and China. *Thomas and Kline (2021)* further emphasize that applied military communication has the potential to shape behaviors, the international climate, and the perceptions of adversaries and potential allies alike.

Defence diplomacy serves as a valuable mechanism for fostering cooperation and ensuring stability in the spheres of security and Defence, particularly when implemented through a comprehensive and well-coordinated approach. It encompasses a diverse array of initiatives undertaken by both military and civilian actors aimed at establishing and strengthening relationships domestically and internationally to advance national Defence objectives. By cultivating enduring ties between the armed forces and Defence institutions of various countries, Defence diplomacy contributes to building mutual trust and easing tensions, even among states with divergent political or ideological outlooks (*Capie, 2020*). The sharing of knowledge and best practices in areas such as Defence strategies and military technology enhances national capabilities and promotes strategic alignment (*Banerjee & Mackay, 2020*). Joint military training and exercises not only improve operational effectiveness but also foster camaraderie and deeper Defence cooperation among partner nations (*Laksmna, 2021*). Furthermore, Defence diplomacy offers a platform for dialogue and negotiation that can de-escalate tensions and peacefully resolve disputes. Through such engagements, it supports the promotion of international legal norms and human rights principles, reinforcing global cooperation and contributing to the reduction of armed conflict worldwide (*Oyewole & Duyile, 2021*).

4. Defence Diplomacy and Soft Power: The Linkage

While soft power emphasizes attraction and persuasion through culture, political values, and

foreign policy, Defence diplomacy brings military institutions into this soft power matrix. It achieves soft power objectives by:

- Promoting transparency and confidence-building
- Enhancing interoperability among allies and partners
- Demonstrating capability without coercion
- Projecting a state's values and strategic intent through peaceful military engagements

In essence, Defence diplomacy allows a country to portray its military not as a threat, but as a contributor to peace and stability, reinforcing its soft power credentials.

4.1 Interdependence and Strategic Synergy

Defence diplomacy and soft power are deeply interconnected, each reinforcing the other. Defence diplomacy offers a credible, action-oriented mechanism to operationalize soft power, using military engagements to build relationships and trust. Where soft power relies on intangible influence through culture, values, and foreign policy ideals, Defence diplomacy provides physical manifestations of this influence in the form of military cooperation, humanitarian missions, and joint exercises.

For example, the U.S. Navy's hospital ship USNS Mercy has conducted humanitarian missions across Asia-Pacific, delivering medical assistance while simultaneously showcasing American values of compassion and partnership. Similarly, China's Peace Ark has undertaken similar missions in Africa and Southeast Asia, exemplifying its strategic effort to pair military capacity with soft power outreach.

In the late 18th century, Catherine the Great of Russia exemplified the use of soft power diplomacy through her policy of enlightened absolutism, which prioritized education and culture in fostering international relations. She founded numerous schools, universities, and museums, and frequently hosted foreign diplomats at her court to display Russia's cultural and intellectual achievements. Her patronage of the arts significantly boosted Russia's cultural prestige, earning her policies the moniker of the "Russian Miracle". Though predating modern Defence diplomacy, her methods reflect early instances of non-coercive statecraft where military power coexisted with cultural persuasion.

In contemporary terms, India's Operation Dost during the 2023 Türkiye-Syria earthquake demonstrated how rapid deployment of military aid

contributed not just to immediate relief but also enhanced India's international standing and trust-building. These activities help convey messages of responsibility, capability, and goodwill—key tenets of soft power.

In multilateral contexts, ASEAN's Defence diplomacy mechanisms, such as the ASEAN Defence Ministers' Meeting (ADMM) and its ADMM-Plus counterpart, utilize soft power to create a regional security architecture based on consensus, dialogue, and cooperation rather than coercion.

Thus, Defence diplomacy extends the reach of soft power by grounding diplomatic values in strategic action, creating a synergy that enhances both national security and international reputation.

5. Case Studies and Applications

5.1 United States

The U.S. has long utilized Defence diplomacy as a key pillar of its global strategy. Programs such as the International Military Education and Training (IMET), Foreign Military Financing (FMF), and Excess Defence Articles (EDA) offer assistance to partner nations to develop capable and democratically aligned militaries. Naval port visits, multinational exercises like RIMPAC, and extensive military attaché networks serve to project influence and reinforce alliances. U.S. Defence diplomacy also promotes interoperability and shared values, particularly in NATO and with Pacific allies like Japan and South Korea. An important example is the Pacific Partnership initiative, which combines humanitarian assistance with multinational cooperation to foster goodwill and regional readiness.

5.2 India

India has expanded its Defence diplomacy footprint, especially within its immediate neighborhood and the Indo-Pacific region. The Indian Armed Forces conduct joint exercises such as Yudh Abhyas with the U.S., Shakti with France, and Dharma Guardian with Japan. Through initiatives like SAGAR (Security and Growth for All in the Region), India undertakes naval deployments for capacity building, anti-piracy, and humanitarian missions. India's growing Defence exports to nations like Vietnam, Mauritius, and the Philippines underline its role as a net security provider. During the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami and the 2023 Türkiye-Syria earthquake (Operation Dost), India's swift

military-led response highlighted its dual use of military power and humanitarian values to strengthen diplomatic ties.

5.3 China

China has increasingly combined Defence diplomacy with its broader Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), creating a blend of military outreach and economic statecraft. The People's Liberation Army (PLA) actively engages in joint military exercises with African, Middle Eastern, and ASEAN countries, including the China-Africa Defence and Security Forum. It also conducts goodwill missions via the PLA Navy's Peace Ark, a hospital ship that has delivered medical aid to over 40 countries. China's participation in UN peacekeeping operations, particularly in South Sudan and Lebanon, is another key avenue of influence, allowing it to portray itself as a responsible global power. However, its assertive posture in the South China Sea illustrates the delicate balance it must maintain between cooperative Defence diplomacy and regional apprehension.

5.4 ASEAN and Regional Engagement

ASEAN serves as a model for institutionalized Defence diplomacy. Through the ASEAN Defence Ministers' Meeting (ADMM) and ADMM-Plus (which includes dialogue partners like the U.S., China, India, Australia, and Japan), the region promotes inclusive security dialogue and confidence-building. Initiatives such as the ASEAN Peacekeeping Centres Network and the ASEAN Military Ready Group (AMRG) for HADR operations have helped enhance joint capabilities. Despite strategic competition among member states and external powers, ASEAN continues to leverage Defence diplomacy to reduce tensions, promote transparency, and uphold the norm of peaceful conflict resolution.

5.5 United Kingdom

The UK employs Defence diplomacy as part of its integrated foreign and security policy. Through the British Defence Attaché system, UK personnel are embedded globally to foster military-to-military cooperation. The UK Defence Engagement Strategy highlights areas such as capacity building in Africa, counter-terrorism support in the Middle East, and strategic training missions with NATO partners in Eastern Europe. In recent years, the UK has also deepened Indo-Pacific engagements through naval

deployments and exercises like Carrier Strike Group missions, strengthening partnerships in a post-Brexit world.

5.6 Russia

Russia employs Defence diplomacy largely as a tool of strategic competition, focusing on arms sales, joint military exercises, and presence in conflict zones. Its military cooperation with Syria, Venezuela, and African countries like the Central African Republic showcases a more realist application of Defence diplomacy. Russia's military engagements, while often transactional, serve to counter Western influence and assert its geopolitical relevance. Historical ties and Soviet-era alliances are often leveraged to re-establish military relationships, particularly through training programs and equipment transfers.

6. Strategic Objectives and Outcomes

Defence diplomacy serves multiple strategic objectives and delivers outcomes that are crucial for national security, regional stability, and international influence. These objectives go beyond mere military alliances and encompass broader political, economic, and humanitarian goals. By leveraging military tools in non-coercive ways, countries can achieve a variety of strategic outcomes that align with their long-term foreign policy priorities.

6.1 Conflict Prevention

One of the primary strategic objectives of Defence diplomacy is conflict prevention. Through confidence-building measures and the creation of clear communication channels between military forces of different nations, Defence diplomacy significantly reduces the chances of misunderstandings or escalations that could lead to violent conflict. This is particularly crucial in regions with historical tensions, territorial disputes, or the potential for military miscalculations. For example, the U.S.-China military-to-military dialogues have served as an important platform to manage tensions in the South China Sea. By holding regular talks between military officials and engaging in joint military exercises, both nations have been able to avoid accidental clashes or escalation of confrontations in disputed waters. Similarly, the ASEAN Defence Ministers' Meeting (ADMM) plays a crucial role in preventing conflict in Southeast Asia, a region where competition between major

powers such as China, the U.S., and India exists. Through regular dialogue and collaborative security efforts, ASEAN has fostered a climate of trust and understanding. The India-Pakistan conflict, despite its deeply rooted historical and territorial issues, has seen efforts in confidence-building through military exchanges and dialogues such as the Indus Waters Treaty meetings. Although a definitive peace remains elusive, these diplomatic engagements have helped prevent full-scale wars and de-escalate border tensions at several points.

6.2 Capacity Building

Defence diplomacy is also an effective tool for capacity building, particularly in developing countries or those with emerging security concerns. Through training, joint military exercises, and the provision of technical assistance, states can help partner nations improve their own Defence capabilities. This not only strengthens the security apparatus of the recipient countries but also fosters closer military ties, thereby ensuring long-term strategic cooperation. For instance, India's Defence diplomacy in Africa has focused on building the military capacity of various nations, including Mozambique and Ethiopia, through training programs and logistical support. These efforts have helped in the fight against terrorism and piracy, improving regional security and enabling African nations to better address their security challenges without external intervention. Similarly, the U.S. International Military Education and Training (IMET) program has been instrumental in building the military leadership of partner nations in Africa, Asia, and Latin America, ensuring that military officers trained by the U.S. not only learn tactical and strategic skills but also understand democratic norms and human rights. Furthermore, India's Neighbourhood First policy has been particularly effective in strengthening ties through military assistance and disaster relief operations in countries such as the Maldives and Sri Lanka. India's training programs for military personnel from neighbouring countries not only enhance their combat readiness but also enable them to cooperate more effectively during regional security crises.

6.3 Regional Stability

Defence diplomacy plays a significant role in fostering regional stability by establishing norms and agreements that

promote collective security. Through joint military exercises, Defence partnerships, and multilateral security agreements, countries can create a shared understanding of security threats and develop cooperative strategies to address them. This not only reduces the likelihood of conflict but also promotes a sense of unity and mutual responsibility in addressing regional security issues. A prime example of this is the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD), which includes the U.S., India, Japan, and Australia. Through joint military exercises like Malabar, the QUAD countries strengthen their collective security arrangements, ensuring that the region remains stable in the face of challenges such as the rise of China and North Korea's nuclear ambitions. The ASEAN Defence Ministers' Meeting-Plus (ADMM-Plus), which includes dialogue partners like the U.S., China, Japan, Russia, and India, is another example of regional security cooperation. The ADMM-Plus has facilitated the coordination of peacekeeping operations, disaster relief, and anti-terrorism efforts in Southeast Asia, promoting stability in a region that is critical to global trade and security. In the South China Sea, Defence diplomacy has served as an essential tool to manage the competing territorial claims of several Southeast Asian nations, China, and external powers like the U.S. and Japan. The Philippines and Vietnam have engaged in military dialogues with China to reduce tensions over maritime disputes, with U.S. military presence acting as a stabilizing factor. This cooperative approach has prevented the escalation of conflicts that could otherwise destabilize the entire Asia-Pacific region.

6.4 Image Projection

A key outcome of Defence diplomacy is the projection of a state's image and values on the global stage. Military humanitarian assistance and disaster relief operations (HADR) are powerful tools for shaping international perceptions of a country's global role. By deploying military assets to assist in disaster zones or humanitarian crises, states can demonstrate their commitment to peace, stability, and human welfare. India's Operation Maitri in Nepal after the 2015 earthquake is a notable example of how Defence diplomacy can enhance a nation's image. The Indian military played a crucial role in providing emergency

medical care, supplies, and search-and-rescue operations, which not only helped save thousands of lives but also solidified India's position as a reliable partner in the region. Similarly, Japan's Self-Defence Forces (SDF) have been involved in peacekeeping missions in South Sudan and humanitarian relief operations after natural disasters, reinforcing Japan's image as a responsible, peace-oriented global actor. Another example is the deployment of the U.S. hospital ship USNS Mercy, which conducts humanitarian missions in the Asia-Pacific region. This ship, which offers free medical services to underdeveloped nations, serves as a symbol of American soft power. The ship's presence in countries such as Indonesia, Philippines, and Vietnam is not just a humanitarian gesture but also a strategic tool for strengthening bilateral relationships and demonstrating U.S. commitment to peace and development in the region. China, too, has increasingly used its military capabilities for soft power outreach through initiatives such as Peace Ark, a hospital ship that undertakes medical missions in Africa, Southeast Asia, and the Caribbean. These efforts have helped China improve its relations with countries across the Global South, showcasing its contributions to global health and stability.

6.5 Strategic Alignment and Partnership Building

Defence diplomacy also serves as an avenue for building long-term strategic partnerships that transcend military alliances. Through joint exercises, mutual Defence agreements, and participation in peacekeeping operations, states can align their strategic objectives with those of other nations, creating a network of mutual interest and security cooperation. The U.S.-Japan security alliance, formalized through the Treaty of Mutual Cooperation and Security, serves as a key example of how Defence diplomacy can strengthen bilateral ties. Through joint military exercises and strategic dialogues, the two countries ensure regional security and coordinate efforts in dealing with common security challenges posed by North Korea's missile tests and China's growing assertiveness in the region. Similarly, Russia and India have enjoyed a long history of military cooperation, with regular joint exercises and strategic Defence dialogues. This relationship has been pivotal in balancing regional power

dynamics, particularly in the face of increasing military presence by NATO and the U.S. in Central Asia.

6.6 Humanitarian Engagement and Disaster Relief

Defence diplomacy often intersects with humanitarian engagement and disaster relief, which not only highlights the military's role in peacekeeping and stability but also boosts the country's global standing. Humanitarian missions are powerful symbols of goodwill and cooperation, reinforcing the notion that a nation's military can be a force for good. The Indian Navy's role in HADR operations during the 2004 tsunami and the more recent response to the COVID-19 pandemic showcases its capability as a regional humanitarian leader. India's Operation Sahayata, which focused on providing essential supplies and medical assistance to affected regions, helped build trust with regional neighbours and solidified India's image as a benevolent security provider in the Indo-Pacific.

In summary, Defence diplomacy serves multiple strategic objectives, including conflict prevention, capacity building, regional stability, and image projection. By using military resources in non-coercive ways, countries can align their military activities with broader diplomatic goals, contributing to long-term peace, stability, and international cooperation.

7. Limitations and Challenges

While Defence diplomacy has many advantages, it is not without limitations. The rapid advancement of technology has added new layers of complexity to existing and potential conflicts. Innovations such as drones, nuclear weapons, and cyber warfare present unique challenges for Defence diplomacy, as they enable cross-border attacks without physical presence and often evade early detection (*Bugos & Reif, 2021*).

Effective Defence diplomacy requires trained personnel, funds, and logistical capacity. It demands a substantial military budget, as seen in the case of the United States, which invests heavily in its military capabilities and is estimated to account for nearly 40% of global military spending (*Leshoele, 2021*). Many nations are facing increasing strain on Defence budgets as available resources become increasingly limited (*Bechtol Jr, 2012*).

Rival powers may interpret Defence diplomacy as strategic encroachment, escalating tensions. For instance, China views the QUAD's joint military

activities as containment, which may lead to reactive militarization.

8. The Future of Defence Diplomacy: The Future of Defence Diplomacy: Evolving Roles and the Continued Use of Soft Power

The future of Defence diplomacy is set to evolve significantly in the coming decades, driven by a rapidly changing geopolitical landscape, the increasing importance of non-traditional security challenges, and the expanding role of technology in warfare and diplomacy. As global power dynamics shift toward a more multipolar world, and non-state actors like terrorist groups and multinational organizations grow in influence, the role of military engagement as a non-coercive diplomatic tool will become even more critical.

8.1 Rising Multipolarity and Shifting Power Structures

One of the most prominent changes in the future of Defence diplomacy is the rise of multipolarity. Traditional power structures dominated by a unipolar or bipolar world order are giving way to a more complex and fragmented geopolitical environment. As countries such as China, India, and Russia continue to assert themselves on the global stage, the focus of Defence diplomacy will shift from simple bilateral alliances to more complex multilateral frameworks. In a multipolar world, Defence diplomacy will become increasingly important as a way to navigate competition and foster cooperation among diverse powers. Countries will need to align their military strategies not only with traditional allies but also with rising powers and emerging regional groupings. This will require a more nuanced approach, blending hard power (military strength) with soft power (cultural influence, values, and humanitarian aid) to establish trust and ensure stability in increasingly contested spaces. For example, India's Defence diplomacy in the Indo-Pacific, through its Act East Policy and Neighbourhood First policy, is already a reflection of this evolving multipolarity. India has expanded its Defence relations with regional players such as Japan, Australia, and Vietnam, while simultaneously engaging in multilateral platforms like the Quad and ASEAN Defence Ministers' Meeting (ADMM-Plus) to balance its security concerns with its broader foreign policy objectives. India's Defence diplomacy, which blends military cooperation with

diplomatic outreach, will continue to serve as a model for other nations in an increasingly multipolar world.

8.2 Non-Traditional Security Threats and Cooperative Military Responses

The future of Defence diplomacy will also be shaped by non-traditional security threats, which include challenges like climate change, pandemics, cybersecurity, transnational terrorism, and organized crime. These threats do not recognize borders, and they require coordinated, collective responses that go beyond traditional military conflict resolution. As a result, countries will need to expand their military cooperation to include non-combat scenarios such as disaster relief, humanitarian assistance, and peacekeeping operations. For instance, climate change will likely become a central focus of future Defence diplomacy as countries grapple with the security implications of environmental disasters, resource scarcity, and mass displacement. Military forces, which are often among the first responders to natural disasters, will be expected to play a larger role in humanitarian missions, including disaster relief, infrastructure rebuilding, and conflict prevention due to climate-induced migration. Operation Sahayata by the Indian Navy during the 2004 tsunami in the Indian Ocean is an example of how Defence diplomacy can be utilized for humanitarian purposes. In the future, such efforts are expected to increase, particularly as rising sea levels, more frequent hurricanes, and climate-induced disasters will put more strain on national security forces and their ability to respond quickly and effectively. Additionally, the increasing threat of cybersecurity attacks poses a new frontier for Defence diplomacy. Countries will have to engage in cyber Defence cooperation, sharing best practices, developing joint cyber capabilities, and ensuring the resilience of critical infrastructure against attacks. As cyber threats transcend national borders, cyber Defence diplomacy will become an essential aspect of global security cooperation, with countries forming alliances to counteract cyber-attacks and protect sensitive data.

8.3 Technological Advancements and the Role of Soft Power

In the future, technological advancements will play an increasingly prominent role in shaping the future of Defence diplomacy. The proliferation of technologies such as

artificial intelligence (AI), autonomous systems, drones, and space technologies will revolutionize the way countries engage in military diplomacy. While these technologies are often associated with hard power, their integration into Defence diplomacy will provide opportunities for soft power to thrive, as states seek to use technology for peaceful collaboration and the pursuit of global public goods.

For instance, in the realm of space diplomacy, nations are increasingly recognizing the need to cooperate in the peaceful exploration and use of outer space. Space partnerships, such as those between the European Union and the United States, or India's collaboration with the United Arab Emirates (UAE) on space missions, demonstrate how technological advancements can be used as a platform for building trust and promoting shared goals. As space technology becomes central to military and civilian use alike, future Defence diplomacy will likely see greater emphasis on space security agreements and cooperation on space exploration initiatives. Such collaborations also serve as instruments of soft power, enhancing a country's global standing by showcasing its technological prowess and fostering positive international relations. Moreover, cyber diplomacy will continue to grow as an extension of both soft and hard power. Countries that excel in cyber capabilities can offer assistance to partners through joint exercises or provide resources for building cybersecurity infrastructure. This will help enhance mutual trust, create reliance, and encourage non-coercive diplomatic engagement. China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) is an example of how Defence diplomacy can be integrated with technological infrastructure. While the initiative is widely seen as a soft power strategy, it also involves the development of digital infrastructure and the sharing of technology with participating countries. These efforts, though not purely military, contribute to building long-term strategic partnerships that blend economic and technological cooperation with military diplomacy.

8.4 Non-State Actors and Hybrid Threats

Future Defence diplomacy will also need to address the growing influence of non-state actors, such as terrorist organizations, paramilitary groups, and transnational criminal organizations. These actors often operate across borders, complicating

traditional military and diplomatic approaches. To counter these threats, states will increasingly rely on hybrid approaches that combine military force with diplomatic, cultural, and economic tools. One of the most notable examples of such cooperation is the Global Coalition to Defeat ISIS, which combined military operations with humanitarian aid, counterterrorism efforts, and regional development. Defence diplomacy in this context played a key role in creating multilateral partnerships among countries like the U.S., France, Iraq, and Turkey, with each country contributing military resources while also engaging in diplomatic efforts to ensure long-term stability in post-conflict regions. Additionally, humanitarian military operations will become more frequent in the future, as military forces will be asked to intervene in complex environments where non-state actors are involved. These operations will require a blend of soft and hard power strategies, as military forces will need to engage with local communities, humanitarian organizations, and international actors to achieve long-term peace and stability.

8.5 Hybrid Defence Diplomacy and the Role of Non-State Actors

The concept of hybrid warfare and the increasing role of non-state actors will also influence the future of Defence diplomacy. States will need to adopt a more flexible approach to diplomacy, blending traditional military strategies with non-traditional tools like economic sanctions, diplomatic pressure, and information warfare. Public diplomacy, which includes social media engagement, media partnerships, and cultural exchanges, will become critical in shaping global perceptions and mitigating the influence of non-state actors that use disinformation and cyber tactics to undermine state authority. For example, Russia's hybrid warfare tactics in Ukraine and its use of social media and disinformation campaigns have shown how non-state actors and state-backed actors can use unconventional methods to alter perceptions and influence national and international politics. In response, countries will increasingly turn to Defence diplomacy to counter these threats through cyber and information-sharing alliances, as well as military training programs aimed at building resilience in vulnerable nations.

Conclusion

Defence diplomacy is a critical pillar of modern soft power. By leveraging military capabilities for engagement rather than coercion, states can build trust, foster stability, and enhance their global image. It aligns military strength with diplomatic finesse - a duality essential for navigating complex international relations. As geopolitical competition intensifies, the emphasis on Defence diplomacy as a non-threatening yet influential tool will only become more prominent. However, its success depends on sincerity, consistency, and synergy with broader foreign policy objectives.

The future of Defence diplomacy will undoubtedly involve a greater emphasis on soft power, as countries seek to use their military resources not just for conflict resolution but as instruments of collaboration, stability, and influence. The integration of non-traditional security threats, technological advancements, and a more complex global order will require Defence diplomacy to adapt, combining hard power with soft power in new and innovative ways. In this future framework, Defence diplomacy will no longer be a tool used merely to project military strength but will emerge as a comprehensive instrument for building global partnerships, fostering cooperation on critical issues such as climate change and cybersecurity, and promoting peace and stability through non-coercive means. Through the strategic use of soft power - such as humanitarian missions, technological collaboration, and multilateral cooperation - countries will not only enhance their military capacities but will also strengthen their global influence and foster a more peaceful, secure world.

References:

- ASEAN Defence Ministers' Meeting (ADMM). (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://admm.asean.org/>
- Banerjee, K., & Mackay, J. (2020). Communities of Practice, Impression Management, and Great Power Status: Military Observers in The Russo-Japanese War. *European Journal of International Security*, 5(3), 274–293. <https://doi.org/10.1017/eis.2020.11>
- Bechtol Jr, B. E. (2012). Planning for the Future: Conditions of Combined ROK-U.S. Military Intervention in Potential DPRK Contingencies. *The Korean Journal of Defence Analysis*, 24(4), 489–502. <https://doi.org/10.22883/kjda.2012.24.4.006>
- Bugos, S., & Reif, K. (2021). *Understanding Hypersonic Weapons: Managing the Allure and the Risks*. Washington, D.C.
- Capie, D. (2020). The Power of Partnerships: US Defence Ties with Indonesia, Singapore and Vietnam. *International Politics*, 57(3), 242–

258. <https://doi.org/10.1057/S41311-019-00205-8>
- Chang, Y. J., & Jenne, N. (2020). Velvet Fists: The Paradox of Defence Diplomacy in Southeast Asia. *European Journal of International Security*, 5(3), 332–349. <https://doi.org/10.1017/eis.2020.16>
- Gill, B. (2007). *Rising Star: China's New Security Diplomacy*. Brookings Institution Press.
- Inkiriwang, F. W. (2020). 'Garuda Shield' vs 'Sharp Knife': Operationalising Indonesia's Defence Diplomacy. *The Pacific Review*, 34(6), 871–900. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09512748.2020.1772352>
- Inkiriwang, F. W. (2021). Multilateral Naval Exercise Komodo: Enhancing Indonesia's Multilateral Defence Diplomacy? *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*, 40(3), 418–435. <https://doi.org/10.1177/18681034211008905>
- International Institute for Strategic Studies. (2023). *The Military Balance 2023*. Routledge.
- Khadafi, B.R.Y., Salahudin & Yumitro, G. (2023). The Art of Defence Diplomacy: How New forms of Diplomacy are Shaping International Relations. *Jurnal Pertahanan: Media Informasi ttg Kajian & Strategi Pertahanan yang Mengedepankan Identity, Nasionalism & Integrity*. 9(53). Doi: 10.33172/jp.v9i1.2465.
- Laksmiana, E. A. (2021). A Fragile Fulcrum: Indonesia-U.S. Military Relations in the Age of Great-Power Competition. *Asia Policy*, 16(4), 106–114. <https://doi.org/10.1353/asp.2021.0057>
- Leshoele, M. (2021). Power Lies in The Barrel of a Gun: Diplomacy Without Strategic Military Capability is Futile. *African and Asian Studies*, 20(1–2), 77–99. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15692108-12341484>
- Medcalf, R. (2014). In Defence of the Indo-Pacific: Australia's New Strategic Map. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, 68(4), 470–483. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2014.911814>
- Nye, J. S. (1990). *Bound to Lead: The Changing Nature of American Power*. Basic Books.
- Oyewole, S., & Duyile, A. (2021). The Enduring Relevance of Naval Power: Reflections on Defence, Security and Power Projection of Sea Frontier. *AUSTRAL: Brazilian Journal of Strategy & International Relations*, 10(20), 118–133. <https://doi.org/10.22456/2238-6912.113269>
- Raska, M. (2015). *Military Innovation in Small States: Creating a Reverse Asymmetry*. Routledge.
- Thomas, G. F., & Kline, J. (2021). The Velvet Glove That Cloaks the Fist of Power: The Role of U.S. Military Communication in Addressing the North Korea Threat. In T. A. Hollihan (Ed.), *Diplomatic and Mediated Arguments in the North Korean Crisis* (pp. 241–269). London: Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-70167-3>
- Wolfley, K. J. (2021). Military Statecraft and the Use of Multinational Exercises in World Politics. *Foreign Policy Analysis*, 17(2). <https://doi.org/10.1093/fpa/oraa022>
- Wu, D. (2022). Programs at the Departments of State and Defence. In *Palgrave Macmillan Series in Global Public Diplomacy* (pp. 15–52). London: Palgrave Macmillan.